

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/542,418	04/04/2000	Thomas F Dibiaso		5678	
110 75	590 11/18/2003		EXAM	INER	
DANN, DORFMAN, HERRELL & SKILLMAN			MILLER, JONATHAN R		
1601 MARKET STREET SUITE 2400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-2307			3653		
			DATE MAILED: 11/18/2001	DATE MAILED: 11/18/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		$\leq 11$
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/542,418	DIBIASO ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jonathan R. Miller	3653
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. I the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07</u> .	<u>luly 2003</u> .	
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under		
Disposition of Claims	ading in the application	
4) Claim(s) <u>1-3,14-19,33-40 and 48-70</u> is/are per		•
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	wil from consideration.	
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) <u>1-3, 14-19,33-40,48-70</u> are subject to	restriction and/or election requir	ement
Application Papers	Tooling and or orong in require	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept	oted or b) objected to by the Exa	miner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	See 37 CFR 1,85(a).
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disappro	oved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	oly to this Office action.	
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	•	
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Applicat	ion No
<ul><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu</li><li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li></ul>	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(	e) (to a provisional application).
<ul> <li>a)  The translation of the foreign language pro</li> <li>15)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest</li> </ul>		
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) D Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)
S. Patent and Trademark Office		

Application/Control Number: 09/542,418 Page 2

Art Unit: 3653

## DETAILED ACTION

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1 3, drawn to a method for cutting the edges of envelopes to remove the contents, classified in class 414, subclass 411.
  - II. Claims 14 19, 48 53, 64 70, drawn to a method and apparatus for singulating
     and conveying 3 or more documents, classified in class 271, subclass 128.
  - III. Claims 33 40, drawn to a method of imaging documents previously severed to reunite the documents, classified in class 209, subclass 584.
  - IV. Claims 54- 63, drawn to a method and apparatus for detecting thickness of mail to optimize the spacing of the documents in downstream processing, classified in class 271, subclass 262.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention I has separate utility such as preventing damage to the documents while assuring separation of the envelope. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- Inventions I and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention I has separate utility such as preventing damage to the documents while assuring separation of the envelope. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Application/Control Number: 09/542,418 Page 3

Art Unit: 3653

4. Inventions I and IV are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention I has separate utility such as preventing damage to the documents while assuring separation of the envelope. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

- Inventions II and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention III has separate utility such as detecting indicia on the documents relating to a communication from the sender. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 6. Inventions II and IV are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention IV has separate utility such as detecting documents that are too thin to be processed (i.e. containing only one document). See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 7. Inventions III and IV are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention III has separate utility such as detecting indicia on the documents relating to a communication from the sender. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 8. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

9. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jonathan R. Miller whose telephone number is (703) 305-5778. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 8:30AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Donald P. Walsh can be reached on (703) 306-4173. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

jrm

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600